

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/801,338	Applicant(s) KOIDE ET AL.	
	Examiner Thomas M. Redding	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Thomas M. Redding.

(3) _____.

 (2) Douglas Holtz - 33902.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 December 2007
Time: 11:15 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Independent claims 1 and 9, and dependent claims 14,15,20 and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Agreement was reached that resulted in allowability. Necessary changes would be made by examiner's amendment
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


BRIAN WERNER
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)